

Respondent obtained a judgment against petitioner on August 2, 2012, in Supreme Court, Kings County in the amount of \$43,010.09 and notice of that judgment was sent to Mr. Samela on September 27, 2012.

On October 22, 2012, the petitioner filed a Petition for Review dated July 26, 2012, that the Board treated as a Motion for Reconsideration. Respondent filed a Reply Affirmation on October 29, 2012, in opposition to Petitioner's request for reconsideration of the Board's Resolution of Decision dated December 14, 2011.

By Resolution of Decision dated December 14, 2012, the Board affirmed the decision of December 14, 2011. The petitioner filed a motion for reconsideration of the December 14, 2012 decision on January 28, 2013, requesting the Board to reconsider its decision based upon the timely filing of the original petition and referencing the defenses it has to the claims, its long-standing good business record, and the impact on its business from Hurricane Sandy.

The Board taking all matters into consideration and being especially cognizant of the desire of the government of the State of New York to help citizens and businesses recover from the devastating impact of Hurricane Sandy on many communities in the state, has reconsidered our earlier denial and now wishes to reopen this matter for a hearing.


NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED THAT:

1. The petitioners' motion for reconsideration is granted; and
2. The Board's Resolution of Decision in this matter issued December 14, 2011 and Resolution of Decision on Motion for Reconsideration of December 14, 2012, are both revoked; and
3. The matter will be scheduled by the designated Hearing Officer for a pre-hearing conference and hearing as soon as possible.





Anne P. Stevason, Chairperson




J. Christopher Meagher, Member



Jean Grumet, Member

Dated and signed in the Office
of the Industrial Board of Appeals
at New York, New York, on
April 29, 2013.




Jeffrey R. Cassidy, Member

1970
1971
1972
1973

1974
1975
1976
1977

[Handwritten signature]
1978
1979
1980



1981
1982
1983

[Handwritten signature]
1984
1985
1986

1987
1988
1989
1990

1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000

2001

2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010

2011
2012
2013
2014

2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030

2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040

2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060

2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070

2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090

2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100

2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120

Respondent obtained a judgment against petitioner on August 2, 2012, in Supreme Court, Kings County in the amount of \$43,010.09 and notice of that judgment was sent to Mr. Samela on September 27, 2012.

On October 22, 2012, the petitioner filed a Petition for Review dated July 26, 2012, that the Board treated as a Motion for Reconsideration. Respondent filed a Reply Affirmation on October 29, 2012, in opposition to Petitioner's request for reconsideration of the Board's Resolution of Decision dated December 14, 2011.

By Resolution of Decision dated December 14, 2012, the Board affirmed the decision of December 14, 2011. The petitioner filed a motion for reconsideration of the December 14, 2012 decision on January 28, 2013, requesting the Board to reconsider its decision based upon the timely filing of the original petition and referencing the defenses it has to the claims, its long-standing good business record, and the impact on its business from Hurricane Sandy.

The Board taking all matters into consideration and being especially cognizant of the desire of the government of the State of New York to help citizens and businesses recover from the devastating impact of Hurricane Sandy on many communities in the state, has reconsidered our earlier denial and now wishes to reopen this matter for a hearing.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED THAT:

1. The petitioners' motion for reconsideration is granted; and
2. The Board's Resolution of Decision in this matter issued December 14, 2011 and Resolution of Decision on Motion for Reconsideration of December 14, 2012, are both revoked; and
3. The matter will be scheduled by the designated Hearing Officer for a pre-hearing conference and hearing as soon as possible.

Anne P. Stevason, Chairperson

J. Christopher Meagher, Member

Jean Grumet, Member



LaMarr J. Jackson, Member

Dated and signed by a Member
of the Industrial Board of Appeals
at Rochester, New York, on
April 29, 2013.

Jeffrey R. Cassidy, Member